

## Challenges in HPC

## Thrusts in High Performance Computing

## Science at Scale

## Petaflops to Exaflops

## Science through Volume

Thousands to Millions of Simulations

## Science in Data

Petabytes to Exabytes of Data

## Science at Scale: Simulations Aid in Understanding Climate Impacts

- Warming ocean and Antarctic ice sheet key to sea level rise
- BISICLES ice sheet model uses AMR for ice-ocean interface.
- Dynamics very fine resolution (AMR)
- Antarctica still very large (scalability)
- Multi-institution (LANL, LBNL)

Ongoing collaboration to ENERGY Science


BISICLES Pine Island Glacier simulation - mesh resolution crucial for grounding line behavior.


Enhanced POP ocean model solution for coupl

ice sheet and ocean models
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## Science through Volume: Large Numbers of Simulations for Materials

- Tens of thousands of simulations are used to screen related materials for use in battery design and other domains
- Goal: cut in half the 18 year from design to manufacturing


Materials Project, Gerd Ceder PI (MIT): website has a database materials from simulations, e.g., over 20,000 potential battery materials.

## Science in Data: Automated Image Analysis in Astronomy

## Data from scientific instruments is growing exponentially

- NERSC in 3 Nobel prizes, and 3 Science "best of decade" (CMB and Genomics)
- Far outpacing processor and memory performance growth
Astrophysics discover early nearby supernova
- Palamor Transient Factory runs machine learning algorithms on $\sim 300 \mathrm{~GB} /$ night delivered by ESnet "science network"
- Rare glimpse of a supernova within 11 hours of explosion, 20M light years away
- Telescopes world-wide redirected


PBS NEWSHOUR


## Nense

## Biggest Challenge: Power

- Engineering View
- Minimize power per computation
- 1 Exaflop in 20 MW ?
- Goal: 1,000-fold performance increase with

5 X power consumption by 2020

- Programming View
- Past: minimize Flops
- Future: minimize data movement


## Moore's Law



2X transistors/Chip Every
1.5 years

Called "Moore"s Law"
Microprocessors have become smaller, denser, and more powerful.


Gordon Moore (co-founder of Intel) predicted in 1965 that the transistor density of semiconductor chips would double roughly every 18 months.

Slide source: Jack Dongarra


## $A^{\prime}=1=-50$

## Power Density Limits Serial Performance

- Concurrent systems are more power efficient
- Dynamic power is proportional to $\mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{fC}$
- Increasing frequency (f) also increases supply voltage (V) $\rightarrow$ cubic effect
- Increasing cores increases capacitance (C) but only linearly
- Save power by lowering clock speed

- High performance serial processors waste power
- Speculation, dynamic dependence checking, etc. burn power
- Implicit parallelism discovery
- More transistors, but not faster serial processors


## Nersc <br> Revolution in Processors



- Chip density is continuing increase $\sim 2 x$ every 2 years
- Clock speed is not
- Number of processor cores may double instead
- Power is under control, no longer growing


## Major Innovations Needed to Sustain Performance Growth



- Processor performance growth is limited by power
- Exascale computers (1000x Hopper) in next decade:
- Manycore processors using graphics, games, embedded cores, or other low power designs offer 100x in power efficiency
- Facilities will need 10x more power (Hopper is 3MW)


## Processor-DRAM Gap (latency)

Goal: find algorithms that minimize communication, not necessarily arithmetic


Time

## Can Accelerators Solve the Problem?

- Accelerator configuration
- Many small, energy-efficient cores (GPUs)
- GPU have private memory space
- Attached to motherboard via PCI interface currently
- Case for heterogeneity
- Accelerators are theoretically very fast
- Much better theoretical Flop/Watt
- Challenges
- Need one fat core (at least) for running the OS
- Data movement from main memory to GPU memory kills performance
- Programmability is very poor
- Most codes will require extensive overhauls


## NERSC Data: Getting bigger all the time

- I/O needs growing each year in scientific community
- For our largest users I/O parallelism is mandatory
- I/O remains a bottleneck for many users
- Early 2011 - Hopper: 2 PB /scratch (we thought that was huge!)
- New systems at TACC and
 NCAR have ~ 18 PB / scratch!!!!


## Why is Parallel I/O for science applications difficult?

- Scientists think about data in terms of how a system is represented in the code: as grid cells, particles, ...
- Ultimately, data is stored on a physical device
- Layers in between the application and the device are complex and varied
- I/O interfaces and configurations are arcane and complicated



## Nensc

## Latencies



