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The Cray Programming Environment Mission

- **Focus on Performance and Programmability**
  - It is the role of the Programming Environment to **close the gap** between observed performance and achievable performance

- **Support the application development life cycle** by providing a **tightly coupled** environment with compilers, libraries, and tools that will **hide the complexity** of the system

- Address issues of scale and complexity of HPC systems

- Target **ease of use** with extended **functionality** and increased automation

- Close interaction with users
  - For feedback targeting functionality enhancements
The Cray Compiling Environment

- **Cray technology focused on scientific applications**
  - Takes advantage of **automatic vectorization**
  - Takes advantage of **automatic shared memory parallelization**

- **Automatic optimizations for Cray architectures to deliver performance of a new target through simple recompile**
  - Hide system complexity

- **PGAS languages (UPC & Fortran Coarrays) fully optimized and integrated into the compiler**
  - No preprocessor involved
  - Target the network appropriately
  - Full debugger support with Allinea’s DDT

- **Focus on standards for application portability and investment protection**
  - Fortran 2008 standard compliant
  - C++11 compliant (working on C++14)
  - OpenMP 4.0 compliant (working on OpenMP 4.5)
  - OpenACC 2.0
  - UPC 1.3
CCE Highlights

- Arguably the most complete vectorization capabilities in the industry
  - Fully automatic loop vectorization without the need of directives and source code modification
    - This includes automatic outer loop vectorization, which is unique in the industry

- Focus on real applications, instead of just benchmarks

- Compiler feedback with annotated listing of source code indicating important optimizations

- The Program Library (PL), an application wide repository
  - Allows whole application analysis
  - Allows exchange of information between tools and the compiler

- Automatic shared memory parallelization with whole program analysis

- Bit reproducibility while maintaining high performance is a key example; critical for our climate modeling customers

- Fully integrated heterogeneous optimization capability
CCE 8.3 Highlights (June 2014)

- The new option `–h develop` selects compiler optimization levels to balance compile time against application execution time.
  - This option is intended for use during application development, when quick turnaround is desired.
  - It minimizes compile time at the cost of some execution time performance.

- `-h flex_mp=strict` provides a level repeatability of between the conservative and intolerant levels.
  - Other general improvements have also been made for `-h flex_mp`.

- New UPC extensions
  - `cray_upc_sheap_info()` call provides symmetric heap usage information
  - `cray_upc_shared_cast()` call creates a pointer-to-shared from a pointer-to-local.

- For Fortran applications, a string identifying MPI rank and OpenMP thread ID begins each line written to `stdout` and `stderr`.

- Performance…. 
Support for the **C++11 language standard**
- To enable C++11 features, use the -h std=c++11 command line option

Support for the **OpenMP 4.0 specification**

Support for the inline assembly **ASM construct** for x86 processor targets

Support for GNU extensions by default (-h gnu option)

Fortran option to initialize floating point arrays to NaNs

Performance…..
Production Quality

- **Functional regression testing done nightly**
  - Roughly 35,000 nightly regression tests run for Fortran (14,000), C (7,000), and C++ (14,000)
  - Default optimization, but for multiple targets (X86, X86+AVX+FMA, X2, X86+NVIDIA), plus “debug” and “production” compiler versions
  - Additionally, cycle through “options testing” with the same test base
    - Fortran: -G0, -G1, -G2, -O0, -Oipa0, -Oipa5 -hipic, “-O3,fp3” –e0
    - C and C++: -Gn, -O0, -hipa0, -hipa5, -hipic, “-O3 -fp3” -hzero
  - Additional tests and suites have been added for GPU testing
  - And some “stress test” option sets to create worse-case scenarios for the compiler
  - Other combinations as necessary and by request

- **Performance regression testing done weekly using important applications and benchmarks**

- **Automated tools quickly isolate a test change to a specific compiler or library mod**
Some Cray Compilation Environment Basics

● **CCE-specific features:**
  ● Optimization: `-O2` is the default and you should usually use this
  ● CCE only gives minimal information to `stderr` when compiling
    ● To see more information, you should request a compiler listing file
      ● flag `-hlist=a`
      ● writes a file with extension `.lst`
      ● contains annotated source listing, followed by explanatory messages
    ● Each message is tagged with an identifier, e.g.: `ftn-6430`
      ● to get more information on this, type: `explain <identifier>`
    ● Cray Reveal can display all this information (and more)
Example: Cray loopmark Messages

- `--hlist=m ...

29. b-------<  do i3=2,n3-1
30. b b------<  do i2=2,n2-1
31. b b Vr--<  do i1=1,n1
32. b b Vr    u1(i1) = u(i1,i2-1,i3) + u(i1,i2+1,i3)
33. b b Vr  *  + u(i1,i2,i3-1) + u(i1,i2,i3+1)
34. b b Vr    u2(i1) = u(i1,i2-1,i3-1) + u(i1,i2+1,i3-1)
35. b b Vr  *  + u(i1,i2-1,i3+1) + u(i1,i2+1,i3+1)
36. b b Vr-->  enddo
37. b b Vr--<  do i1=2,n1-1
38. b b Vr    r(i1,i2,i3) = v(i1,i2,i3)
39. b b Vr  *  - a(0) * u(i1,i2,i3)
40. b b Vr  *  - a(2) * ( u2(i1) + u1(i1-1) + u1(i1+1) )
41. b b Vr  *  - a(3) * ( u2(i1-1) + u2(i1+1) )
42. b b Vr-->  enddo
43. b b------>  enddo
44. b-------->  enddo
Example: Cray loopmark messages (cont)

ftn-6289 ftn: VECTOR File = resid.f, Line = 29
A loop starting at line 29 was not vectorized because a recurrence was found on "U1" between lines 32 and 38.

ftn-6049 ftn: SCALAR File = resid.f, Line = 29
A loop starting at line 29 was blocked with block size 4.

ftn-6289 ftn: VECTOR File = resid.f, Line = 30
A loop starting at line 30 was not vectorized because a recurrence was found on "U1" between lines 32 and 38.

ftn-6049 ftn: SCALAR File = resid.f, Line = 30
A loop starting at line 30 was blocked with block size 4.

ftn-6005 ftn: SCALAR File = resid.f, Line = 31
A loop starting at line 31 was unrolled 4 times.

ftn-6204 ftn: VECTOR File = resid.f, Line = 31
A loop starting at line 31 was vectorized.

ftn-6005 ftn: SCALAR File = resid.f, Line = 37
A loop starting at line 37 was unrolled 4 times.

ftn-6204 ftn: VECTOR File = resid.f, Line = 37
A loop starting at line 37 was vectorized.
Example of Explain Utility

users/ldr> explain ftn-6289

VECTOR: A loop starting at line %s was not vectorized because a recurrence was found on "var" between lines num and num.

Scalar code was generated for the loop because it contains a linear recurrence. The following loop would cause this message to be issued:

DO I = 2,100
   B(I) = A(I-1)
   A(I) = B(I)
ENDDO
Recommended CCE Compilation Options

- **Use default optimization levels**
  - It’s the equivalent of most other compilers –O3 or –fast
  - It is also our most thoroughly tested configuration

- **Using –O3,fp3 (or –O3 –hfp3, or some variation)**
  - -O3 only gives you slightly more than –O2
  - We also test this thoroughly
  - -hfp3 gives you a lot more floating point optimization, esp. 32-bit
  - Higher numbers are not always correlated with better performance

- **Optimizing for compile time rather than execution time**
  - Compile time can sometimes be improved by disabling certain features/optimizations
    - Some common things to try: -hnodwarf, -hipa0, -hunroll0
OpenMP

- **OpenMP is ON by default**
  - Optimizations controlled by `–hthread#`

- **Autothreading is NOT on by default**;
  - `-hautothread` to turn on
  - Modernized version of Cray X1 streaming capability
  - **Interacts with OpenMP directives**

- **If you do not want to use OpenMP and have OMP directives in the code, make sure to shut off OpenMP at compile time**
  - To shut off use `–hthread0` or `–xomp` or `–hnoomp`
Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Tools
Cray Performance Tools Strengths

- Whole program analysis across many nodes
- New and advanced user interfaces
- Support for MPI, SHMEM, OpenMP, UPC, CAF, OpenACC, CUDA
- Load Imbalance detection
- HW counter derived metrics
- Performance statistics for libraries called by program (BLAS, LAPACK, PETSc, NetCDF, HDF5, etc.)
- Observations of inefficient performance
- Data correlation to user source (line number, function)
- Energy consumption
- Minimal program perturbation
Two Interfaces to the Performance Tools

- **Support traditional post-mortem performance analysis**
  - Indication of causes of problems
  - Suggestions of modifications for performance improvement

- **CrayPat-lite** for first time users

- **CrayPat** for in-depth performance investigation and tuning assistance
New perftools-base and Instrumentation Modules
Access perftools Software

● Load `perftools-base` module and leave it loaded
  ● Provides access to man pages, Reveal, Cray Apprentice2, and the new instrumentation modules

  ● Can keep loaded with no impact to applications

● Available starting in perftools/6.3.0 in September 2015

● Prior to perftools/6.3.0:
  ● Load `perftools` module
Program Instrumentation Modules

Instrumentation modules available after perftools-base is loaded:

- perftools
- perftools-lite
- perftools-lite-events
- perftools-lite-gpu
- perftools-lite-loops
What Do the Instrumentation Modules Do?

**perftools**
- Full access to CrayPat functionality
- Use `pat_build` to instrument, `pat_report` to process data and collect reports
- Equivalent to loading `perftools` module in earlier releases

**perftools-lite**
- Default CrayPat-lite profiling
- Load before building and running program to get a basic performance profile sent to stdout
- Equivalent to loading `perftools-lite` module in earlier releases
Tips

- Loading perftools without loading perftools-base first will continue to work as in pre-6.3.0 releases until perftools/6.4.0
- Sites can consider loading the default perftools-base for all users. Cray will look at automatically loading this module in a future release.
- Instrumentation modules can be loaded and unloaded for different performance experiments
- Use the ‘module list’ command to easily see which type of instrumentation is currently active
- Unload the instrumentation module after performance analysis experiments are complete
CrayPat-lite
How to Use CrayPat-lite

Access performance tools software & instrumentation module

> module load perftools-lite

Build program

> make

a.out (instrumented program)

Run program (no modification to batch script)

aprun a.out

Condensed report to stdout

a.out*.rpt (same as stdout)

a.out*.ap2 files
Example CrayPat-lite Output

CrayPat/X: Version 6.1.4.12457 Revision 12457 (xf 12277) 02/26/14 13:58:24
Experiment: lite lite/sample_profile
Number of PEs (MPI ranks): 8164
Numbers of PEs per Node: 16 PEs on each of 510 Nodes
                  4 PEs on 1 Node
Numbers of Threads per PE: 1
Number of Cores per Socket: 8
Execution start time: Fri Feb 28 23:06:31 2014
System name and speed: hera2 2100 MHz

Wall Clock Time: 999.595275 secs
High Memory: 475.52 MBytes
MFLOPS (aggregate): 806112.33 M/sec
I/O Read Rate: 33.57 MBytes/Sec
I/O Write Rate: 215.40 MBytes/Sec
## Example CrayPat-lite Output (2)

### Table 1: Profile by Function Group and Function (top 7 functions shown)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time%</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Imb. Time</th>
<th>Imb. Time%</th>
<th>Calls</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>101.961423</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5315211.9</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>94.267451</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5272245.9</td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>77.248585</td>
<td>2.356249</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1001.0</td>
<td>LAMMPS_NS::PairLJCut::compute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>6.644545</td>
<td>0.105246</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>LAMMPS_NS::Neighbor::half_bin_newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.131842</td>
<td>0.634032</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>LAMMPS_NS::Verlet::run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.841349</td>
<td>1.241434</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>5262868.9</td>
<td>LAMMPS_NS::Pair::ev_tally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.288463</td>
<td>0.181268</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>LAMMPS_NS::FixNVE::final_integrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.110931</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>42637.0</td>
<td>MPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.851309</td>
<td>3.371093</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>12267.0</td>
<td>MPI_Send</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.536106</td>
<td>2.592504</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>12267.0</td>
<td>MPI_Wait</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Example CrayPat-lite Output (3)

#### Table 2: File Input Stats by Filename

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Read Time</th>
<th>Read MBytes</th>
<th>Read Rate</th>
<th>Reads</th>
<th>Bytes/ Call</th>
<th>File Name[max10]</th>
<th>PE=HIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>387.432937</td>
<td>13006.522781</td>
<td>33.571030</td>
<td>41596900.0</td>
<td>327.87</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331.691801</td>
<td>1395.829828</td>
<td>4.208213</td>
<td>13153931.0</td>
<td>111.27</td>
<td>/proc/self/maps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.129507</td>
<td>4075.682968</td>
<td>310.421627</td>
<td>868.0</td>
<td>4923575.28</td>
<td>regional.grid.a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.654338</td>
<td>2000.329418</td>
<td>158.074605</td>
<td>26892862.0</td>
<td>77.99</td>
<td>./patch.input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.924810</td>
<td>679.265625</td>
<td>173.069704</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>237420544.00</td>
<td>./forcing.radflx.a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
More Information from Same Profile

You don’t need to run again for the following:

For a complete report with expanded tables and notes, run:

```bash
pat_report /lus/scratch/heidi/lab/craypat-lite/run/sweep3d.mpi.ap2
```

For help identifying callers of particular functions:

```bash
pat_report -O callers+src /lus/scratch/heidi/lab/craypat-lite/run/sweep3d.mpi.ap2
```

To see the entire call tree:

```bash
pat_report -O calltree+src /lus/scratch/heidi/lab/craypat-lite/run/sweep3d.mpi.ap2
```
Sampling with Line Number information

Table 2: Profile by Group, Function, and Line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samp%</th>
<th>Samp</th>
<th>Imb.</th>
<th>Imb.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>8376.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.2%</td>
<td>7804.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>USER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>4328.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>calc3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>heidi/DARPA/cache_util/calc3.do300-ijswap.F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>1314.4</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>line.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>1167.7</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>line.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>1211.6</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>line.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>line.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>line.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>line.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>line.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>line.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>116.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>line.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CrayPat
The Cray Performance Analysis Framework

● Supports traditional post-mortem performance analysis
  ● Indication of causes of problems
  ● Suggestions of modifications for performance improvement

● pat_build: provides automatic instrumentation

● CrayPat run-time library collects measurements (transparent to the user)

● pat_region API
  ● Provides mechanism to control collection of performance data within source code

● pat_report performs analysis and generates text reports

● pat_help: online help utility

● Cray Apprentice2: graphical visualization tool
Supports two categories of experiments

- Asynchronous experiments (sampling) which capture values from the call stack or the program counter at specified intervals or when a specified counter overflows.

- Event-based experiments (tracing) which count some events such as the number of times a specific system call is executed.

While tracing provides most useful information, it can be very heavy if the application runs on a large number of cores for a long period of time.

Sampling can be useful as a starting point, to provide a first overview of the work distribution.
How to Use CrayPat

● Make sure the following modules are loaded:
  ● PrgEnv-crav module
  ● perftools module (perftools-base is already loaded)

● Instrument binary for tracing user functions and MPI
  ● > pat_build –u –g mpi my_program
  ● OpenMP is on by default when tracing is enabled

● Run application

● Create report with GPU statistics
  ● > pat_report my_program.xf > my_report
● Combines information from binary with raw performance data

● Performs analysis on data

● Generates text report of performance results

● Generates customized instrumentation template for automatic profiling analysis

● Formats data for input into Cray Apprentice²
### MPI Messages By Caller

#### Table 4: MPI Message Stats by Caller

| Function | PE=|[mmm] | MPI | Msg | <16B | MsgSz | <64KB | PE=|[mmm] | MPI | Msg | <16B | MsgSz | <64KB | PE=|[mmm] |
|-----------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|-------|------|
| Total     |      |      | 140166953.8 | 8890.6 | 339.8 | 8550.8 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 140166833.8 | 8875.6 | 324.8 | 8550.8 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
| calc2_3  |      |      | 78272400.0  | 4850.0  | 75.0  | 4775.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 78700800.0  | 7200.0  | 2400.0| 4800.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 78681600.0  | 4800.0  | 0.0   | 4800.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 59020800.0  | 4800.0  | 1200.0| 3600.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 59421800.0  | 3725.0  | 100.0 | 3625.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 78700800.0  | 7200.0  | 2400.0| 4800.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 59011200.0  | 3600.0  | 0.0   | 3600.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 59011200.0  | 3600.0  | 0.0   | 3600.0 |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
|          |      |      | 624.3       | 79%     |       |        |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |
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Collecting Performance Counter Information
CrayPat Runtime Options

- Runtime controlled through PAT_RT_XXX environment variables

- See intro_craypat(1) man page

- Examples of control
  - Enable full trace
  - Change number of data files created
  - Enable collection of HW, network or power counter events
  - Enable tracing filters to control trace file size (max threads, max call stack depth, etc.)
Performance Counters

● Cray supports raw counters, derived metrics and thresholds for:
  ● Processor (core and uncore)
  ● Network
  ● Accelerator
  ● Power

● Predefined groups
  ● Groups together suggested counters for experiments

● Single interface to access counters
  ● PAT_RT_PERFCTR environment variable

● See hwpc, nwpc, accpc, and rapl man pages
How to Get List of Events for a Processor

- Run the following utilities on a compute node:
  - papi_avail
  - papi_native_avail

- Use `pat_help` on login node
  - `> pat_help counters haswell`
    - deriv
    - Groups
    - Native
    - papi

- Set `PAT_RT_PERFCTR` environment variable to list of events or group prior to execution
Performance Counters via PAPI

- Common set of events deemed relevant and useful for application performance tuning
  - Accesses to the memory hierarchy, cycle and instruction counts, functional units, pipeline status, etc.
  - The “papi_avail” utility shows which predefined events are available on the system – execute on compute node

- PAPI also provides access to native events
  - The “papi_native_avail” utility lists all native events available on the system – execute on compute node

- PAPI uses perf_events Linux subsystem
There are 14 predefined hardware performance counter event groups that can be specified by setting `PAT_RT_PERFCCTR` to the group id. Some groups contain the keyword "mpx" to enable multiplexing.

Additional topics:

0: D1 with instruction counts
1: Summary -- FP and cache metrics
2: D1, D2, L3 Metrics
6: Micro-op queue stalls
7: Back end stalls
8: Instructions and branches
9: Instruction cache
10: Cache Hierarchy
11: Floating point operations dispatched
12: AVX floating point operations
13: SSE and AVX floating point operations SP
14: SSE and AVX floating point operations DP
23: FP and cache metrics (same as 1)

default: group 1
Example: HW counter data and Derived Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_TLB_DM</td>
<td>Data translation lookaside buffer misses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_L1_DCA</td>
<td>Level 1 data cache accesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_FP_OPS</td>
<td>Floating point operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC_MISS</td>
<td>Data Cache Miss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User_Cycles</td>
<td>Virtual Cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### USER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time%</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>4.434402 secs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imb.Time</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imb.Time%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls</td>
<td>0.001M/sec, 4500.0 calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_L1_DCM</td>
<td>14.820M/sec, 65712197 misses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_TLB_DM</td>
<td>0.902M/sec, 3998928 misses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_L1_DCA</td>
<td>333.331M/sec, 1477996162 refs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPI_FP_OPS</td>
<td>445.571M/sec, 1975672594 ops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User time (approx)</td>
<td>4.434 secs, 11971868993 cycles, 100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Time per Call</td>
<td>0.000985 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrayPat Overhead : Time</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW FP Ops / User time</td>
<td>445.571M/sec, 1975672594 ops, 4.1%peak(DP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW FP Ops / WCT</td>
<td>445.533M/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computational intensity</td>
<td>0.17 ops/cycle, 1.34 ops/ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFLOPS (aggregate)</td>
<td>1782.28M/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLB utilization</td>
<td>369.60 refs/miss, 0.722 avg uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 cache hit,miss ratios</td>
<td>95.6% hits, 4.4% misses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 cache utilization (misses)</td>
<td>22.49 refs/miss, 2.811 avg hits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:** HW counter data and Derived Metrics

PAT_RT_PERFCTR=1
Flat profile data
Raw counts
Derived metrics
Maximize On-node Communication by Reordering MPI ranks
When Is Rank Re-ordering Useful?

- Maximize on-node communication between MPI ranks
- Physical system topology agnostic
- Grid detection and rank re-ordering is helpful for programs with significant point-to-point communication
- Relieve on-node shared resource contention by pairing threads or processes that perform different work (for example computation with off-node communication) on the same node
MPI Rank Reorder – Two Interfaces Available

● CrayPat
  ● Include \textit{--g mpi} when instrumenting program
  ● Run program and let CrayPat determine if communication is dominant, detect communication pattern and suggest MPI rank order if applicable

● \texttt{grid\_order utility}
  ● User knows communication pattern in application and wants to quickly create a new MPI rank placement file
  ● Available when perftools module is loaded
Automatic Communication Grid Detection

- Cray performance tools produce a custom rank order if it’s beneficial based on grid size, grid order and cost metric
- Summarized findings in report
- Available with sampling or tracing
- Describe how to re-run with custom rank order
## Table 1: Profile by Function Group and Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time%</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Imb.</th>
<th>Imb.</th>
<th>Calls</th>
<th>Group Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>463.147240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>21621.0</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>240.974379</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>21523.0</td>
<td>MPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>221.142266</td>
<td>36.214468</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10740.0</td>
<td>mpi_recv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>19.829001</td>
<td>25.849906</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>10740.0</td>
<td>mpi_send</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>200.474690</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>USER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>189.897060</td>
<td>58.716197</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>sweep_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>7.579876</td>
<td>1.899097</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>source_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>21.698147</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>mpi_sync</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>20.091165</td>
<td>20.005424</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>mpi_allreduce_(sync)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.000024</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>syscall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MPI Grid Detection:

There appears to be point-to-point MPI communication in a 96 X 8 grid pattern. The 52% of the total execution time spent in MPI functions might be reduced with a rank order that maximizes communication between ranks on the same node. The effect of several rank orders is estimated below.

A file named `MPICH_RANK_ORDER.Grid` was generated along with this report and contains usage instructions and the Custom rank order from the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Order</th>
<th>On-Node Bytes/PE</th>
<th>On-Node Bytes/PE% of Total</th>
<th>MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>2.385e+09</td>
<td>95.55%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>1.880e+09</td>
<td>75.30%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold</td>
<td>1.373e+06</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoundRobin</td>
<td>0.000e+00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Auto-Generated MPI Rank Order File

| The 'USER_Time_hybrid' rank order in this file targets nodes with multicore |
| processors, based on Sent Msg Total Bytes collected for: |
| Program: /lus/nid000023/malice/craypat/WORKSHOP/bh2o-demo/Rank/sweep3d/sweep3d |
| Ap2 File: sweep3d.gmpi-u.ap2 |
| Number PEs: 768 |
| Max PEs/Node: 16 |
| To use this file, make a copy named MPICH_RANK_ORDER, and set the environment variable MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD to 3 prior to executing the program. |

| 14, 444, 46, 476, 110, 508, 78, 5000, 570, 42, 554, 269, 594, 50, 602 |
| 86, 396, 30, 428, 62, 460, 54, 492, 18, 514, 74, 586, 58, 626, 82, 546 |
| 3, 487 |
| 129, 563, 193, 531, 161, 571, 225, 135, 315, 167, 339, 199, 347, 259 |
| 153, 587, 169, 627, 137, 635, 201, 175, 363, 159, 323, 143, 355, 255 |
| 7, 405, 71, 469, 39, 437, 103, 413, 133, 406, 197, 438, 165, 470, 229 |
| 111, 397, 63, 461, 55, 429, 87, 421 |
| 134, 402, 198, 434, 166, 410, 230 |
| 190, 499, 254, 426, 142, 458, 150 |
| 386, 182, 418, 206, 490, 214, 450 |
| 128, 533, 192, 541, 160, 565, 232 |
| 503, 724 |
| 629, 732, 681, 756, 721, 716, 764 |
| 729, 732, 681, 756, 721, 716, 764 |
| 728, 584, 680, 624, 720, 512, 696, 669, 767, 655, 746, 753, 728 |
| 722, 731, 763, 658, 642, 755, 739 |
| 765, 661, 709, 663, 741, 653, 711 |
| 648, 576 |
| 677, 727, 751, 693, 647, 701, 717 |
| 643, 714, 691, 674, 699, 754, 645, 759 |
| 730, 723 |
| 722, 731, 763, 658, 642, 755, 739 |
| 648, 576 |
| 677, 727, 751, 693, 647, 701, 717 |
| 643, 714, 691, 674, 699, 754, 645, 759 |
| 730, 723 |
| 503, 724 |
| 729, 732, 681, 756, 721, 716, 764 |
| 643, 714, 691, 674, 699, 754, 645, 759 |
| 730, 723 |
| 722, 731, 763, 658, 642, 755, 739 |
| 648, 576 |
| 677, 727, 751, 693, 647, 701, 717 |
| 643, 714, 691, 674, 699, 754, 645, 759 |
| 730, 723 |
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Using New Rank Order

- Save grid_order output to file called MPICH_RANK_ORDER
- Export MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD=3
- Run non-instrumented binary with and without new rank order to check overall wallclock time for performance improvements
- Can be used for all subsequent executions of same job size
Visualizing Performance of Your Application Through Cray Apprentice2
Installing Apprentice2 on Laptop

From a Cray login node

- module load perftools
- Go to:  
  - $CRAYPAT_ROOT/share/desktop_installers/
- Download .dmg or .exe installer to laptop
- Double click on installer and follow directions to install
Apprentice2 Overview with GPU Data

[Image of Apprentice2 6.0.0 interface]

- **Function/Region Profile**
  - CPU: 100.0%
  - GPU: 0.0%

- **Load Imbalance**
  - Imbalance: 0.0%

- **Memory Utilization**
  - Process: 24491640 (MB/s)
  - 238.032

- **Data Movement**
  - Acc Copy In: 2555
  - Acc Copy Out: 2560

Wallclock time: 83.615158s
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Call Tree View

Load balance overview:
- Height ↔ Max time
- Upper bar ↔ Average time
- Lower bar ↔ Min time
Yellow represents imbalance time

Node width ↔ inclusive time
Node height ↔ exclusive time

Function List

Filtered node or sub tree
Green colored nodes are not traced.

Data displayed when hovering the mouse over nodes or “?”.

Provides hints for performance tuning
CPU Program Timeline: 36GB CP2K Full Trace

Program histogram showing wait time

Shows wait time

CPU call stack:
Bar represents CPU function or region: Hover over bar to get function name, start and end time

Hover to see what different filters do

Program wallclock time line
What’s New?
Recent Enhancements

- **Improved ease of use:**
  - perftools-base module, pat_info utility

- **Profile comparison in Cray Apprentice2**
  - Useful for comparing MPI vs MPI+OpenMP, scaling bottlenecks, etc.

- **2D communication heat map (Cray Apprentice2 Mosaic) in summarized mode**

- **Visualize sampling data over time with associated call stack**
Apprentice2 Comparison

![Comparison Graph]

Wallclock: 104.3411s / 26.3343s
Sampling Over Time

- Available in perftools/6.2.3 (available in April 2015)
- Intended for collecting higher overhead performance data
- Sampling experiment in non-summary mode
  - PAT_RT_SUMMARY=0
  - PAT_RT_SAMPLING_DATA=cray_pm
- Records data every 100 Program Counter addresses by default (user can adjust)

Examples:
- Heap, shared heap
- Perfctr (selected performance counters)
- Rusage (resource usage (getrusage)
- Cray PM, RAPL
Visualize Samples Over Time

- Plots show activity over time

- `pat_report` generates gnuplot files
  - `> pat_report [-r] -f plot $some.xf`
  - `> pat_report [-r] -f plot $some.ap2`

- **Visualize** (`pat_report` launches gnuplot)
  - `> pat_report $some.plot`
  - `> pat_report $some.plot/himem.gp`

  - `> pat_report -s pe=N`
    - Plot data only for `pe` N
  - `> pat_report -s filter_input='pe<10'`
    - Specify a subset of `pe` values for which to plot data

- Run “`pat_help plots`” or see `craypat(1)` man page for more info
Memory High Water Mark with Gnuplot
Energy Consumption Over Time in Apprentice2

- Associates counter data with program call stack

Call stack:
Bar represents function or region: Hover over bar to get function name, start and end time

Plots of energy consumed by the socket and by the cores within a socket over time. Can also show memory high water mark, etc.
Performance Tools Documentation and Tips
Cray PE Documentation Available

- **Release Notes**
  - > module help product/product_version

- **User Guides**
  - [http://docs.cray.com](http://docs.cray.com)

- **Man pages, for example:**
  - cc
  - crayftn
  - intro_directives
  - Intro_openacc
How to Access Perftools

● > module load perftools-base (can go in .login)

● Then:
  ● To do light profile: > module load perftools-lite
  ● To get loop statistics: > module load perftools-lite-loops
Perftools Documentation Available

- **Release Notes**
  - `> module help perftools/version_number`

- **User manual** “Using the Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Tools” available at [http://docs.cray.com](http://docs.cray.com)

- **pat_help** – interactive help utility on the Cray Performance toolset

- **Man pages**
Man pages

- **intro_craypat(1)**
  - Introduces the craypat performance tool
  - Runtime environment variables (enable full trace, etc.)

- **pat_build(1)**
  - Instrument a program for performance analysis

- **pat_help(1)**
  - Interactive online help utility

- **pat_report(1)**
  - Generate performance report in both text and for use with GUI

- **app2 (1)**
  - Describes how to launch Cray Apprentice2 to visualize performance data
Man pages (2)

- **hwpc(5)**
  - describes predefined hardware performance counter groups

- **nwpc(5)**
  - Describes predefined network performance counter groups

- **accpc(5) / accpc_k20(5), etc.**
  - Describes predefined GPU performance counter groups

- **intro_papi(3)**
  - Lists PAPI event counters
  - Use papi_avail or papi_native_avail utilities to get list of events when running on a specific architecture
Reveal Help
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Reveal Usage Recipe

● Access Cray compiler
  ● > module load PrgEnv-cray

● Access perftools
  ● > module load perftools-base

● Enable loop work estimates program instrumentation
  ● > module load perftools-lite-loops

● Build program (make)

● Run program to get loop work estimates in file with .ap2 suffix
Reveal Usage Recipe (2)

● Disable loop work estimates program instrumentation so we can get fully optimized program now
  ● > module unload perftools-lite-loops

● Create program library with CCE:
  ● Add –h pl=/full_path/my_program.pl to program’s Makefile

● Rebuild application with full optimization
  ● > make clean
  ● > make

● Launch Reveal
  ● > reveal /full_path/my_program.pl loop_work_estimates.ap2
How to Install Apprentice2 on Your Laptop

- > module load perftools

- Go to:
  - $CRAYPAT_ROOT/share/desktop_installers/

- Download .dmg or .exe installer

- Double click on installer and follow directions to install
Why Should I generate a “.ap2” file?

● The “.ap2” file is a self contained compressed performance file

● Normally it is about 5 times smaller than the “.xf” file

● Contains the information needed from the application binary
  ● Can be reused, even if the application binary is no longer available or if it was rebuilt

● It is the only input format accepted by Cray Apprentice²
## Files Generated and the Naming Convention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Suffix</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.out+pat</td>
<td>Program instrumented for data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.out...s.xf</td>
<td>Raw data for sampling experiment, available after application execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.out...t.xf</td>
<td>Raw data for trace (summarized or full) experiment, available after application execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.out...st.ap2</td>
<td>Processed data, generated by <code>pat_report</code>, contains application symbol information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.out...s.apa</td>
<td>Automatic profiling panalysis template, generated by <code>pat_report</code> (based on <code>pat_build -O apa</code> experiment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.out+apa</td>
<td>Program instrumented using .apa file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPICH_RANK_ORDER.Custom</td>
<td>Rank reorder file generated by <code>pat_report</code> from automatic grid detection and reorder suggestions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More on pat_report Data
Data from pat_report

- Default reports are intended to be useful for most applications
- Don’t need to rerun program to get more detailed data
- Different aggregations, or levels of information available
  - Get fined-grained thread-imbalance information for OpenMP program
- Get list of tables available:
  - `> pat_report -O -h`
- Other formats available (txt, html, csv, xml)
A Useful Tip. . .

If you don’t see the function you are looking for in a report:

● **Disable pruning:** “pat_report –P . . .”
  ● Pruning hides path from sample or event to user source so data is better correlated to user source code
  ● For example, hides low level ugni network protocol calls and instead points to MPI call in user source

● **Disable thresholding:** “pat_report –T . . .”
  ● Adds back in functions that took insignificant amount of time
Questions About the Data?

● See Job summary information at top of report

● See Details section at bottom of report (may include warnings from CrayPat)

● Check pat_help

● Check man pages
Notes Section

- Check the Notes before each table in the text report

Notes for table 5:

The Total value for Process HiMem (MBytes), Process Time is the avg for the PE values.

The value shown for Process HiMem is calculated from information in the /proc/self/numa_maps files captured near the end of the program. It is the total size of all pages, including huge pages, that were actually mapped into physical memory from both private and shared memory segments.

This table shows only the maximum, median, minimum PE entries, sorted by Process Time.
Pat_help

> pat_help environment . . .

pat_help environment (.=quit ,=back ^=up /=top ~=search)
=> PAT_RT_SAMPLING_DATA

Specifies additional data to collect during a sampling experiment. The valid values are shown below.

The value may be followed by '@ratio' which indicates the frequency at which the data is sampled. By default the data is sampled once for every 100 sampled program counter addresses. For example, if 'ratio' is '1', the additional data requested would be collected each time the program counter is sampled. If the 'ratio' is '1000', the additional data requested would be collected once every 1000 program counter samples.

Collecting additional data during sampling is only supported in full-trace mode (see PAT_RT_SUMMARY).

Additional topics that may follow "PAT_RT_SAMPLING_DATA":

- cray_pm
- perfctr
- cray_rapl
- rusage
- heap
- sheep
- memory
Pat_help (2)

- > pat_help environment PAT_RT_SAMPLING_DATA memory

pat_help environment PAT_RT_SAMPLING_DATA
(.=quit ,=back ^=up /=top ~=search) => memory

memory collect data about the current state of memory

himem - memory high water mark
rss - resident set size
peak - maximum virtual memory used
priv - private resident memory
shared - shared resident memory
proportional - proportional resident memory