

# NERSC Role in High Energy Physics Research

Katherine Yelick NERSC Director

**Requirements Workshop** 









## NERSC is the Production Facility for DOE Office of Science

### NERSC population

- About 3000 users in 400 distinct projects
- About 500 code instances

# Focus on unique computing

### resources for science

- -High end computing
- -High end storage
- -Network interface
- -Expert services

### Science-driven

- -Machines procured competitively using application benchmarks
- -Allocations controlled by DOE based on science





2009 Allocations

2



## DOE Priorities for NERSC Change Over Time





# **ASCR's Computing Facilities**

### **NERSC** at LBNL

- 1000+ users,100+ projects
- Allocations:
  - 80% DOE program manager control
  - 10% ASCR Leadership Computing Challenge\*
  - 10% NERSC reserve
- Science includes all of DOE Office of Science
- Machines procured competitively

### LCFs at ORNL and ANL

- 100+ users 10+ projects
- Allocations:
  - 80% ANL/ORNL managed INCITE process
  - 10% ACSR Leadership Computing Challenge\*
  - 10% LCF reserve
- Science limited to largest scale; no limit to DOE/SC
- Machines procured through partnerships







# **NERSC 2009 Configuration**

### Large-Scale Computing System

Franklin (NERSC-5): Cray XT4

- 9,532 compute nodes; 38,128 cores
- ~25 Tflop/s on applications; 356 Tflop/s peak

Hopper (NERSC-6): Cray XT

- Phase 1: Cray XT5, 668 nodes, 5344 cores
- Phase 2: > 1 Pflop/s peak





• Upgrading to Carver (NC: c)

PDSF (HEP/NP)

• Linux cluster (~1K cores)



### **HPSS** Archival Storage

- 59 PB capacity
- 11 Tape libraries
- 140 TB disk cache





 Upgrade planned









### **Demand for More Computing**



- Each year DOE users requests ~2x as many hours as can be allocated
- This 2x is artificially constrained by perceived availability
- Unfulfilled allocation requests amount to hundreds of millions of compute hours in 2010







## **How NERSC Uses Your Requirements**







## 2005: NERSC Five-Year Plan

- 2005 Trends:
  - Widening gap between application performance and peak
  - Emergence of multidisciplinary teams
  - Flood of scientific data
  - (Missed multicore, along with most)
- NERSC Five-Year Plan
  - Major system every 3 years
- Implementation



- NERSC-5 (Franklin) and NERSC-6 (underway) + clusters
- Question: What trends do you see for 2011-2015?
  - Algorithms / application trends and other requirements







# Applications Drive NERSC Procurements

Because hardware peak performance does not necessarily reflect real application performance



CAM	GAMESS	GTC	IMPACT-T	MAESTRO	MILC	PARATEC
Climate	Quantum	Fusion	Accelerator	Astro-	Nuclear	Material
	Chemistry		Physics	physics	Physics	Science

- Benchmarks reflect diversity of science and algorithms
- SSP = average performance (Tflops/sec) across machine
- Used before selection, during and after installation
- Question: What applications best reflect your workload?







# **NERSC-5 "Franklin"**

- Largest Cray XT4
  - 102 cabinets
  - 9,740 Quad Core nodes
  - 38,640 CPUs (cores)
  - Novel torus network for large parallel jobs
  - Direct access to parallel filesystem
- Performance:
  - 25 Tflop/s of sustained application performance
  - 352 Tflop/s of Peak



### Benjamin Franklin,

One of America's First Scientists, performed ground breaking work in energy efficiency, electricity, materials, climate, ocean currents, transportation, health, medicine, acoustics and heat transfer.







# **NERSC-6 "Hopper"**

Cray system selected competitively:

4Q09

- Application benchmarks from climate, chemistry, fusion, accelerator, astrophysics, QCD, and materials
- Best application performance per dollar and per MW
- Novel external Services for functionality and availability
- Novel interconnect network with high bandwidth and low latency

### Phase 1: Cray XT5

- 668 nodes, 5,344 cores
- 2.4 GHz AMD Opteron
- 2 PB disk, 25 GB/s
- Air cooled

**1Q10** 

### Phase 2: Cray system

- > 1 Pflop/s peak
- ~ 150K cores, 12 per chip

4Q10

• 2 PB disk, 80 GB/s

**3Q10** 

• Liquid cooled

**2Q10** 







# **DOE Explores Cloud Computing**

DOE's CS program focuses on HPC

No coordinated plan for clusters in SC

- DOE Magellan Cloud Testbed
  - \$16M project at NERSC from Recovery Act
- Cloud questions to explore on Magellan:
  - Can a cloud serve DOE's mid-range computing needs?
  - What features (hardware and software) are needed of a "Science Cloud"? Commodity hardware?
  - What requirements do the jobs have (~100 cores, I/O,...)
  - How does this differ, if at all, from commercial clouds which serve primarily independent serial jobs
- Magellan not a NERSC Program machine
  - Not allocated in ERCAP; testbed, not production



NATIONAL ENERGY RESEARCH





### **Cluster architecture**





### **Reservations at NERSC**

- Reservation service being tested:
  - Reserve a certain date, time and duration
    - Debugging at scale
    - Real-time constraints in which need to analyze data before next run, e.g., daily target selection telescopes or genome sequencing pipelin
  - At least 24 hours advanced notice
    - <u>https://www.nersc.gov/nusers/services/</u> reservation.php
  - Successfully used for IMG run, Madcap, IO benchmarking, etc.







### **Science Gateways at NERSC**

- Create scientific communities around data sets
  - Models for sharing vs. privacy differ across communities
  - Accessible by broad community for exploration, scientific discovery, and validation of results
  - Value of data also varies: observations may be irreplaceable
- A science gateway is a set of hardware and software that provides data/services remotely
  - Deep Sky "Google-Maps" of astronomical image data
    - Discovered 140 supernovae in 60 nights (July-August 2009)
    - 1 of 15 international collaborators were accessing NGF data through the SG nodes 24/7 using both the web interface and the database.
  - Gauge Connection Access QCD Lattice data sets
  - Planck Portal Access to Planck Data
- Building blocks for science on the web
  - Remote data analysis, databases, job submission





DEEP SH







# **Visualization Support**

*Petascale visualization*: Demonstrate visualization scaling to unprecedented concurrency levels by ingesting and processing unprecedentedly large datasets.

*Implications*: Visualization and analysis of Petascale datasets requires the I/O, memory, compute, and interconnect speeds of Petascale systems.

Accomplishments: Ran Vislt SW on 16K and 32K cores of Franklin.

• First-ever visualization of two *trillion* zone problem (TBs per scalar); data loaded in parallel.

Petascale visualization

Plots show 'inverse flux factor,' the ratio of neutrino intensity to neutrino flux, from an ORNL 3D supernova simulation using CHIMERA. b



Isocontours (a) and volume rendering (b) of two trillion zones on 32K cores of Franklin.



а





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

### **Requirements Drive NERSC's Long-Term Vision**









### **NERSC System Roadmap**



2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020

- Goal is two systems on the floor at all times
- Systems procured by sustained performance

**ENERGY** Office of Science





S. DEPARTMENT OF

JERG

## **Data Driven Science**

- Scientific data sets are growing exponentially
  - Ability to generate data is exceeding our ability to store and analyze
  - Simulation systems and some observational devices grow in capability with Moore's Law
- Petabyte (PB) data sets will soon be common:
  - Climate modeling: estimates of the next IPCC data is in 10s of petabytes
  - Genome: JGI alone will have .5 petabyte of data this year and double each year
  - *Particle physics*: LHC is projected to produce
    16 petabytes of data per year
  - Astrophysics: LSST and others will produce 5 petabytes/year
- Create scientific communities with "Science Gateways" to data

Office of

Science





### **Tape Archives: Green Storage**





- Tape archives are important to efficient science
  - 2-3 orders of magnitude less power than disk
  - Requires specialized staff and major capital investment
  - NERSC participates in development (HPSS consortium)
- Questions: What are your data sets sizes and growth rates?







- NERSC has a modest number of "commodity systems"
- Mostly specialized science systems for compute, disk storage (parallel filesystems), and tape archives







## Energy Efficiency is Necessary for Computing

- Systems have gotten about 1000x faster over each 10 year period
- 1 petaflop (10<sup>15</sup> ops) in 2010 will require 3MW
  - $\rightarrow$  3 GW for 1 Exaflop (10<sup>18</sup> ops/sec)
- DARPA committee suggested 200 MW with "usual" scaling
- Target for DOE is 20 MW in 2018





### Moore's Law is Alive and Well



Data from Kunle Olukotun, Lance Hammond, Herb Sutter,

Office of Smith, Chris Batten, and Krste Asanoviç





## But Clock Frequency Scaling Has Been MERCE PRESERVE Replaced by Scaling Cores / Chip



Slide Source: Kathy Yelick. Data from Kunle Olukotun, Lance Hammond, Herb Sutter, Burton Smith, Chris Batten, and Krste Asanoviç

24





# Performance Has Also Slowed, Along



Slide Source: Kathy Yelick. Data from Kunle Olukotun, Lance Hammond, Herb Sutter, Burton Smith, Chris Batten, and Krste Asanoviç





# NERSC Goal Usable Exascale in 2020

- Computational scaling changed in 2004
- Problems also for laptops, handhelds, data centers
- Parallelism on-chip brings algorithms, programming into question
- NERSC: Programmable, usable systems for science
- 1) Energy efficient designs
- 2) Facilities to support scale for both high and mid scale







### Parallelism is "Green"

- Concurrent systems are<sub>3</sub> more power efficient Density (W/cr
  - Dynamic power is proportional to V<sup>2</sup>fC
  - Power | Increasing frequency (f) also increases supply voltage (V)  $\rightarrow$  cubic effect
  - Increasing cores increases capacitance (C) but only linearly



- High performance serial processors waste power
  - Speculation, dynamic dependence checking, etc. burn power
  - Implicit parallelism discovery
- Question: Can you double the concurrency in your algorithms and software every 2 years?







## **Technology Challenge**

Technology trends against a constant or increasing memory per core

- Memory density is doubling every three years; processor logic is every two
- Storage costs (dollars/Mbyte) are dropping gradually compared to logic costs



Question: Can you double concurrency without doubling memory?







## Hardware and Software Trends

- Hardware Trends
  - Exponential growth in explicit on-chip parallelism
  - Reduced memory per core
  - Heterogeneous computing platforms (e.g., GPUs)
  - As always, this is largely driven by non HPC markets
- Software Response
  - Need to express more explicit parallelism
  - New programming models on chip: MPI + X
  - Increased emphasis on strong scaling
  - No more serial code scaling from hardware
- What we want
  - Understand your requirements and help craft a strategy for transitioning to a hardware and programming environment solution







# High Energy Physics Science at NERSC







### Supernova Core-Collapse

**Objective:** First principles understanding of supernovae of all types, including radiation transport, spectrum formation, and nucleosynthesis.

*Implications*: Will help confront one of the greatest mysteries in high-energy physics and astronomy -- the nature of dark energy.

Accomplishments: NERSC runs of VULCAN core collapse explain magnetically-driven explosions in rapidly-rotating cores.

- First 2.5-D, detailed-microphysics radiationmagnetohydrodynamic calculations; first time-dependent 2D rad-hydro supernova simulations with multi-group <u>and</u> multi-angle transport.
- CASTRO, new multi-dimensional, Eulerian AMR hydrodynamics code that includes stellar EOS, nuclear reaction networks, and self-gravity.

NERSC: 2M hours alloc in 2009; Vis supportImage: Structure of ScienceImage: Structure of Science

### PIs: S. Woosley (UCSB), A. Burrows (Princeton)



The exploding core of a massive star. a), b), and c) show morphology of selected isoentropy, isodensity contours during the blast; (d) AMR grid structure at coarser resolution levels."

BERKELEY LABI

# Cosmic Microwave Background

**Objective:** Analyze data from the Planck satellite -- definitive Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) data set.

*Implications*: CMB: image of the universe at 400k years, relic radiation from Big Bang

- Accomplishments: NERSC provides the components of the data pipeline for noise reduction, map-making, power spectrum analysis, and parameter estimation
- 2006 Nobel Prize in Physics

NATIONAL ENERGY RESEARCH SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING CENTER

- 32 TB final data set size, ~400 users
- data sets analyzed as a whole because complex data correlations; no "divide and conquer"
- Launched May09, first "light" Sept09
- Also ~10k-core XT4 MonteCarlo calibration runs, produce ~10X data
- Anticipate Moore's law growth in data set size for 15 years

### PI: J. Borrill (LBNL)





### **HEP: Accelerator Modeling**

**Objective:** Help design and optimize the electron beam for LBNL next-generation Free Flectron Laser

Implications: Numerically optimizing the beam lowers cost of design / operation and improves X-ray output, helping scientific discovery in physics, material science, chemistry and bioscience.

Accomplishments: Code includes selfconsistent 3D space-charge effects, shortrange geometry & longitudinal synchrotron radiation wakefields, and detailed RF acceleration / focusing.

Billion-particle simulation required

### **NERSC:**

- Allocated 800K hours in 2009
- IMPACT code, part of NERSC6 test suite
- NERSC provided visualization support



Office of Science

### PI: J. Qiang (LBNL)



Visualization of an electron beam bending and changing orientation as it passes through a magnetic bunch compressor.

Proc. Linac08 Conference





# Lattice QCD

**Objective:** Understand strong interactions that bind quarks and gluons together.

*Implications*: Explain new phases of matter that might form in heavy-ion collisions (in LHC, for example).

- Accomplishments: Cited by DOE in 2010 Congressional Budget Request as one of 3 major accomplishments in Theoretical Nuclear Physics in 2008/9.
- First ever QCD calculations of:
  - Three-body force between hadrons.
  - Screening of color forces between quarks by a background of hadrons.
  - a three-baryon system.

### **NERSC:**

- QDP++/Chroma on Franklin; 10M+ hours
- Mostly 4k cores per job

# Pls: M. Savage (U. Wash.), W. Detmold (JLab, College of W&M)

### **Color Screening by Pions** 12 10 δF(R,n) [MeV fm<sup>-1</sup>] $\mathbf{P} N_{\mathbf{HYP}}=0$ $\mathbf{H} N_{\mathbf{HYP}} = 2$ H N<sub>HYP</sub>=4 $N_{HYP}=1$ 0.0 0.10.2 0.3 0.4 0.50.6 0.7

R [fm] Contribution to the radial quark-antiquark force at two pion densities. The attractive force is found to be reduced by the pion screening. This is a first step toward a more systematic exploration of hadronic effects with lattice QCD.

Phys Rev Lett, (2009)





### **Laser Wakefield Acceleration**

*Objective*: Use multi-scale simulation to understand & design laser driven plasma wakefield accelerators, supporting LOASIS experiments.

*Implications*: Offers promise of accelerators orders of magnitude smaller, less costly than current machines.

Accomplishments: 2- & 3D PIC simulations (b) (VORPAL) reproduce electron beam charge & energy, show physical mechanisms of acceleration.

- Used to develop new injector technologies to improve beam quality
- Designing a proposed 10GeV LWFA
- Solutions to PIC code limitations.
- LOASIS and SciDAC: VACET and SDM

### **NERSC:**

 2.2M hours on Franklin; significant viz /analytics support; typical runs use ~10k cores



Science

### PI: C. Geddes, LBNL



Plasma density gradient controlled injector in 2D



Particle trace of particles according to user specified criteria (momentum here; red=high)



Simulation showing 3D contours and projections of the wake (blue), laser (red), and particle bunch (yellow) in a 100 MeV LWFA

SciDAC Review Cover Story (2009)



### High Energy Physics: Palomar Transient Factory

**Objective:** Process, analyze & make available data from Palomar Transient Sky survey (~300 GB / night) to expose rare and fleeting cosmic events.

*Implications*: First survey dedicated solely to finding transient events.

Accomplishments: Automated software for astrometric & photometric analysis and *real-time* classification of transients.

- Analysis at NERSC is fast enough to reveal transients *as data are collected.*
- Has *already uncovered* more than 40 supernovae explosions since Dec., 2008.
- Uncovering a new event about every 12 *minutes.*

**NERSC:** 

• 40k MPP allocation + 1M HPSS in 2009; Use of NERSC NGF + gateway (next slide)

## PI: P. Nugent (LBNL)





### PTF project data flow

Two manuscripts submitted to Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific





# **Deep Sky Science Gateway**

**Objective:** Create a richer set of computeand data-resource interfaces for nextgeneration astrophysics image data, making it easier for scientists to use NERSC and creating world-wide collaborative opportunities.

*Implications*: Efficient, streamlined access to massive amounts of data for broad user communities.

Accomplishments: Open-source software customized to create Deep Sky database system and interface: www.deepskyproject.org

- ~ 11M 6-Mb images stored in HPSS/NGF
- DeepSky is like "Google Earth" for astronomers.

• Other NERSC gateways: GCRM (climate), Planck (Astro), Gauge Connection (QCD), VASP (chemistry/materials science).

**NERSC Project** 



Map of the sky as viewed from Palomar Observatory; color shows the number of times an area was observed

http://www.nersc.gov/nusers/services/Grid/SG/



ENERGY Science



### ATLAS, CDF, and DayaBay

- ATLAS is simulating the ATLAS detector at the LHC and will be doing data analysis when the LHC is online
- CDF does data analysis and simulation of the CDF detector at the Tevatron.
- DayaBay does simulation and analysis tools for the DayaBay Neutrino Mixing experiment

### • NERSC

- All three do analysis and simulation on PDSF and store data in the NGF filesystem and HPSS.
- Requirements:
  - Need high job throughput and available bandwidth
  - ATLAS uses grid services, and thus the OSG stack support
  - DayaBay will transfer ~10MB/sec from the experiment in China for a total of ~150TB/year in 2010.







## Conclusions

### NERSC requirements

- Qualitative requirements shape NERSC functionality
- Quantitative requirements set the performance

"What gets measure gets improved"

- Goals:
  - Your goal is to make scientific discoveries
    - Articulate specific scientific goals and implications for broader community
  - Our goal is to enable you to do science
    - Specify resources (services, computers, storage, ...) that NERSC could provide with quantities and dates







### **Cover Stories from NERSC Research**



NERSC is enabling new science in all disciplines, with about 1,500 refereed publications per year



