NUGEX 2016

On the road toward real-time virtual prototyping of particle accelerators

Jean-Luc Vay

In collaboration with: C. Benedetti, A. Bhagatwala, S. Bulanov, E. Esarey, C. Geddes, D. Grote, P. Lee, W. Leemans, R. Lehe, M. Lobet, B. Loring, C. Mitchell, G. Penn, O. Ruebel, J. Qiang, C. Schroeder, C. Sun, H. Vincenti

March 26, 2016

ACCELERATOR TECHNOLOGY & ATA





Particle accelerators are essential tools in modern life that power scientific discovery, cure cancer, secure our borders, and help create a wide range of products

Medicine



- ~9000 medical accelerators in operation worldwide
- 10's of millions of patients treated/yr
- 50 medical isotopes, routinely produced with accelerators

Industry



- ~20,000 industrial accelerators in use
 - Semiconductor manufacturing
 - cross-linking/ polymerization
 - Sterilization/ irradiation
 - Welding/cutting
- Annual value of all products that use accel. Tech.: \$500B

National Security



- Cargo scanning
- Active interrogation
- Stockpile stewardship: materials characterization, radiography, support of non-proliferation

Discovery Science



- ~30% of Nobel Prizes in Physics since 1939 enabled by accelerators
- 4 of last 14 Nobel Prizes in Chemistry for research utilizing accelerator facilities

There are 30,000 Particle Accelerators Making an Impact on Our Lives

Problem: size & cost often a limiting factor Example 1: Proton Therapy Center



New Rochester Mayo Clinic Proton Therapy Center

- 4 chambers
- \$188M

120-ton gantry directs proton beam to appropriate spot on patient by rotating around a three-story chamber.

http://finance-commerce.com/2014/03/status-report-mayo-proton-therapy-facility/#ixzz43DJgnIIA http://blogs.mprnews.org/statewide/2014/03/mayos-proton-beam-facility-on-track-for-2015-opening/

Problem: size & cost often a limiting factor Example 2: Carbon Therapy Center

Heidelberg Proton & Carbon Therapy Center

- 2 scans chambers
- one 4π chamber
- €119M





http://medicalphysicsweb.org/cws/article/research/51684 https://www.klinikum.uni-heidelberg.de/About-us.124447.0.html?&L=1

Problem: size & cost often a limiting factor Example 3: High-Energy Physics collider













Elizabeth Gibney

22 December 2015

Some people think that future governments will be unwilling to fund larger and more expensive facilities. Do you think a collider bigger than the LHC will ever be built? And will it depend on the LHC finding something new?

The outstanding questions in physics are important and complex and difficult, and they require the deployment of all the approaches the discipline has developed, from highenergy colliders to precision experiments and cosmic surveys. High-energy accelerators have been our most powerful tools of exploration in particle physics, so we cannot abandon them. What we have to do is push the research and

development in accelerator technology, so that we will be able to reach higher energy with compact accelerators.

Most applications of accelerators make use of conventional technology (with roots in post-WWII era)

This technology has been refined and extended...





1956: Stanford Medical Center

Today: Varian Medical





1940: Cockcroft Walton generator Cavendish Lab

Today: Superconducting resonators

Today, we are on the brink of technological breakthroughs...

Two-beam acceleration

Power transfer from one beam to another with RF structures Dielectric Wakefield Accelerator

Strong fields induced in dielectric structure Beam-Plasma Wakefield Accelerator

Beam generated plasma wake with very high fields

Laser-Plasma Accelerator

Laser generated plasma wake with very high fields

Laser plasma acceleration enables development of compact accelerators

meter-scale







State-of-the-art



Multi-GeV electron beam from 9 cm laser plasma accelerator

Goal

Let's go from this...



...to this...



...and make it ready for application.

Can this technology be developed for light sources, medical and security applications, and even high energy colliders?

High-performance computing is key to answering these questions and reaching that goal!

Modeling of particle accelerators

All accelerators in the world rely on modeling



Advanced simulations have key impact on the support & analysis of experiments

8



Start-to-end simulations of LCLS

Supported world record BELLA 4.25 GeV beam over 9 cm

Simulations



*W. P. Leemans, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 245002 (2014)

Simulations with IMPACT

Advanced simulations also key to the design of future accelerators

Parallel genetic optimization improves design of ALS upgrade.



C. Sun et al., (2015).

Predict amplification of instability in LCLS-II.



and validate novel mitigation scheme



M. Venturini and J. Qiang, PRST-AB 18, 054401 (2015).

Advanced simulations are essential tools for the exploration of new concepts

Demonstrate concept of two-color injection of ultra-high quality beam



Simulations with Warp - Visualization with Vislt

L.-L. Yu, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 125001 (2014)



Need to speedup by ×10ⁿ

Investment in new algorithms pushes the frontier

Algorithm/method	Reference	Originated	Adopted by
Integrated Maps for rf cavity dynamics	Ryne, LANL Report 1995	ML/IMPACT	D. Abell nonlinear model
Stochastic Leap-Frog for Brownian motion	Qiang & Habib, PRE 2000	IMPACT	
Spectral-finite difference multigrid solver	Qiang & Ryne, CPC 2001/2006	IMPACT	
Improved Perfectly Matched Layers	Vay, JCP 2000/JCP 2002	Warp	Osiris
AMR-PIC electrostatic	Vay et al, LPB 2002 /PoP 2004	Warp	
PIC w/ shift-Green function method	Qiang et al, PRSTAB 2002/CPC 2004	BBeam3D	
Secondary emission of electrons algorithm	Furman & Pivi, PRST-AB <mark>2003</mark>	Posinst	TxPhysics, Warp, spacecraft charging codes
AMR-PIC electromagnetic	Vay et al, CPC <mark>2004</mark>	Emi2D	Warp
3D Poisson solver with large aspect ratio	Qiang & Gluckstern, CPC 2004	IMPACT	
PIC w/ integrated Green function	Qiang et al, PRSTAB 2006	ML/IMPACT	BB3D, Pyheadtail, Opal
Hybrid Lorentz particle pusher	Cohen et al, NIMA 2007	Warp	
Lorentz boosted frame	Vay, PRL 2007	Warp	Osiris, Vorpal, PIConGPU, INF&RNO, JPIC,

and it also benefits the entire community

Algorithm/method (cont.)	Reference	Originated	Adopted by
Explicit Lorentz invariant particle pusher	Vay, PoP 2008	Warp	Tristan, Osiris, PIConGPU, Photon-Plasma, QED, etc.
New convolution integral w/ smooth kernel	Qiang, CPC <mark>2010</mark>	N/A	
Mixed Particle-Field decomposition method	Qiang & Li, CPC 2010	BBeam3D	
PIC with tunable electromagnetic solver	Vay et al, JCP 2011	Warp	Osiris, Vorpal
Efficient digital filter for PIC	Vay et al, JCP 2011	Warp	Osiris, Vorpal
Laser launcher from moving antenna	Vay et al, PoP 2011	Warp	Osiris, Vorpal
High-precision laser envelope model	Benedetti et al, 2011	Inf&rno	
Domain decomposition for EM spectral solver	Vay et al, JCP 2013	Warp	
Mitigation of num. Cherenkov instability	Godfrey&Vay, JPC/CPC 2014-15	Warp	Osiris
Adaptive unified differential evolution algo.	Qiang & Mitchell, OO dig. 2015		
Spectral solver with azimuthal decomposition	Lehe et al, CPC, in press 2016	FBPIC/Warp	Latest with
Novel algorithm for vectorization	Vincenti et al, submitted 2016	Warp/PICSAR	more in
Generalized spectral solver	Vay et al, in preparation 2016	Warp	preparation

It also has relevance beyond original application

Secondary e- yield (SEY) model (Furman-Pivi, PRST-AB 2003)



Prediction of spacecraft charging from charged particles impact.

Lorentz boosted frame (Vay, PRL 2007)



Speed-up first principles simulations $x \ 10^{n}$.

Relativistic particle pusher (Vay, PoP 2008)



More precision integration of relativistic particle motion.

We are now combining algorithms for maximum efficiency



Preparing Accelerator Codes for Exascale Supercomputing

Our proposal was selected as part of the NERSC Exascale Applications Program (NESAP)

NESAP Codes



Courtesy R. Gerber, NUGEX 2016



ERCLEY LA

Mathieu Lobet



NESAP postdoc Started on 2/1/16



LBNL home of unique simulation toolset for conventional & advanced concepts accelerators



State-of-the-art codes:

• WARP, IMPACT, BEAMBEAM3D, INF&RNO, POSINST, FBPIC.

For detailed modeling of the largest set of physics and components:

• beams, plasmas, lasers, structures, etc *in* linacs, rings, injectors, traps, ...

Supporting accelerator modeling:

• across DOE (HEP, BES, NP, FES, DNN) and beyond (CERN, DESY, KEK, ...).

All codes share Particle-In-Cell loop at core (as do other accelerator & plasma codes elsewhere)

Strategy relies then on small kernel

- NESAP work should benefit other BLAST codes, and beyond (OSIRIS, Synergia, ...)
- Warp is a complex code:
 - o ~150k lines FORTRAN + ~100k lines Python

→ Particle-In-Cell Scalable Applications Resources (PICSAR)

- initiated with kernel of Warp's Particle-In-Cell main loop (all FORTRAN)
 - optimization independently of Warp complexity and legacy
- open source repository for collaborative development and distribution with other codes/groups
 - licensing is underway

(derived class of PIC solver enables usage of new optimized routines from Warp)

Novel pre-exascale supercomputers require restructuration with "multi-level parallelism"

MPI

Threads

--> DO I = 1, N

> ENDDO

MPI

Threads

R(I) = B(I) + A(I)

To run effectively on future systems

- Manage Domain Parallelism
 - independent program units; explicit
- Increase Thread Parallelism
 - independent execution units within the program; generally explicit
- Exploit Data Parallelism
 - Same operation on multiple elements
- Improve data locality
 - Cache blocking;
 Use on-package memory



Courtesy Katherine Riley, FES Exascale Review, 2016

- 12 -



MPI

Threads

ERKELEY I

Particle-In-Cell

Domain decomposition 1 MPI task/domain



Subdomain tiling 1 OpenMP thread/tile





Initial OpenMP scheme gave poor scaling

One MPI SUBDOMAIN



One big loop with one thread/tile

- Two adjacent tiles may write to the same location (conflicts)
- Adding \$OMP REDUCTION clause not sufficient
- Poorly scales with # OpenMP threads:

5x on Edison on one socket (12cores)

Novel OpenMP scheme to improves scaling

One MPI SUBDOMAIN



Main loop split in 4:

- Loop 1: Each threads write on its center part
- Loop 2: Each thread write in +/-X direction
- Loop 3: Each thread write in +/-Y direction
- Loop 4: Each thread write in +/-Z direction

OpenMP scaling: 5x -> 11x! (on Edison)

Novel vectorization algorithm leads to >2x speedup on charge/current deposition routines

- Previous algorithms developped on vector supercomputers in 70s-90s do not work
- Novel algorithm developped* (implemented in Warp/PICSAR)



*H. Vincenti, R. Lehe, R. Sasanka, J-L. Vay, « An efficient and portable SIMD algorithm for charge/current deposition in Particle-In-Cell codes », arXiv:1601.02056, submitted to Comp. Phys. Comm. Benchmarks on Cori (Haswell CPU)

Tests demonstrate speedups >2x (max. theoretical=4)



27

Load imbalance develops during simulations

- Distribution of particles, initially regular, becomes very irregular
- E.g.: laser-driven ion simulation with 12 cores



t>>0 – imbalance >70%



Imbalance (%) = 100x(MAXTIME(Pi)-MINTIME(Pi))/MINTIME(Pi)

Irregularity also affects balance between tiles

→ needs for intra- & inter-node dynamic load balancing (DLB)

Intranode DLB by having N tiles >> NOMP threads



- DLB implemented using \$OMP SCHEDULE(runtime) with runtime="guided" or "dynamic"
- Initial testing show better results with "dynamic"

Internode DLB by moving domain boundaries

Simple strategy:

- (i) Project work load (field solvers+particle routines) along X,Y,Z
- (ii) Compute new CPU boundaries along X,Y,Z
- (iii) Exchange particles and fields between CPUs



Testing of efficiency on large simulations is underway

More in talk by A. Bhagatwala tomorrow

I/O, data analysis and visualization

Large scale HPC simulations need efficient I/O, analysis and visualization

- I/O, analysis and visualization can be bottleneck •
- collaborations on HDF5 and ADIOS parallel I/O
- collaboration on new data layout specifications for PIC



- https://github.com/openPMD
 http://www.openpmd.org
- collaboration on in situ data analysis and visualization Warply • https://bitbucket.org/berkeleylab/warply

Presentation by B. Loring on Monday

In situ analysis & viz. complements raw data dumps

- Dumps of particles and fields are needed for post-processing
 but limited by parallel I/O efficiency & amount of data generated
- In situ analysis enables increased frequency
 - o down-samples, derived quantities, reduced geometry etc
 - \circ focused analysis, write exactly what you (think you) need
- In situ visualization enables maximum temporal resolution and I/O reduction of multi-dimensional datasets
 - $\circ\;$ reduces problem sized data to image sized data
 - $\circ~$ fast, small, serial I/O, doesn't impact file system.

Example: laser-driven ion beam acceleration



simple idea: use a laser to create a plasma. charge separation accelerates protons

- active area of research with many applications!
 - $\circ~$ including cancer therapy
 - precise control over depth at which energy is deposited
 - ➔ less tissue damage
 - relatively compact and cheap
- HPC simulations are essential
 - $\circ\;$ used to develop and prove theory
 - when mature, will be used to model experimental apparatus

S. S. Bulanov, et al. "Accelerating monoenergetic protons from ultrathin foils by flat-top laser pulses in the directed-coulomb-explosion regime," Phys. Rev. E, vol. 78, p. 026412, Aug 2008.

Example: laser-driven ion beam acceleration



simple idea: use a laser to create a plasma. charge separation accelerates protons

 active area of research with many applications!

- including cancer therapy
 - precise control over depth at which energy is deposited
 - ➔ less tissue damage
 - relatively compact and cheap
- **HPC simulations are essential**
 - $\circ~$ used to develop and prove theory
 - when mature, will be used to model experimental apparatus

S. S. Bulanov, et al. "Accelerating monoenergetic protons from ultrathin foils by flat-top laser pulses in the directed-coulomb-explosion regime," Phys. Rev. E, vol. 78, p. 026412, Aug 2008.

3D simulations are needed to capture correct physics



- 2D is qualitatively similar, but in 3D:
 - higher energies are reached
 - \circ the beam propagates faster and further over the same time period.
- In-situ data analysis & viualization enables high frequency projections & histories of derived quantities

3D simulations are needed to capture correct physics



- 2D is qualitatively similar, but in 3D:
 - higher energies are reached
 - \circ the beam propagates faster and further over the same time period.
- In-situ data analysis & viualization enables high frequency projections & histories of derived quantities

In situ enables high-frequency visualization of isosurfaces



In situ analysis and visualization: small portion of runtime and small I/O footprint



Category	Written to disk
histograms	4.5 MB
projections	796. MB
iso-surfaces	40.8 MB
statistics	3.5 MB
total	841. MB

Raw data for same analysis ~ 3.4 TB.
In situ → 4033× reduction in I/O.

Summary

- Particle accelerators are essential tools of science, medicine, industry and security
- Plasma-based methods on the brink to deliver much smaller, cheaper accelerators, with profound impacts
- HPC already essential and can play key role in the development of new technologies
- Ultimate goal is real-time virtual prototyping of entire accelerators
- Preparation toward exascale is vital and underway (NESAP)
- Novel in situ analysis and visualization tools will complement standard parallel I/O for maximum utilization

NERSC is an essential partner of our research program and we look forward to our continued partnership and future co-discoveries!