Late-Time Numerical Simulations of High-Energy-Density (HED) Targets



Twenty Second International Conference on Numerical Simulations of Plasmas

> Long Branch, New Jersey, USA September 7, 2011

David Eder¹, David Bailey¹, Andrea Bertozzi², Aaron Fisher¹, Alice Koniges³, Wangyi Liu³, Nathan Masters¹, Marty Marniak¹

¹LLNL, ²UCLA, ³LBNL

Outline

- Motivation for late-time simulations for debris/shrapnel assessment
- Numerical issues associated with late-time HED simulations
- Overview of the ALE-AMR code capabilities and validation
- Application to National Ignition Campaign (NIC) targets
 - Focus on higher risk (low-energy) targets
 - Simulation of re-emit target using <1% NIF energy
- Redesign of various HED targets based on simulations
- Role of debris wind on components in the NIF chamber

Late-time simulations are needed to assess impacts to NIF optics and diagnostics from debris and shrapnel

Optics: 192 3-mm thick DDS's located ~ 7 m from target





NIC Target

Diagnostics: components, e.g., pinhole array, ~10 cm from target



There are five major differences as compared to conventional HED simulations

- 1. Generally run simulations ~100X longer in time
- 2. Additional physics, such as material strength/failure and surface tension, can be required
- 3. Additional parts of the target, e.g., AI thermal mechanical package and Si cooling rings, must be included
- 4. Additional sources of input energy, e.g., unconverted laser light, must be included
- 5. Less symmetry leading to 3D simulations as well as need to resolve shrapnel fragments that also requires 3D

ALE-AMR developed by DOE labs (LLNL and LBNL) and UC campuses (UCSD and UCLA)



ALE-AMR is an open science code that runs at various computing centers including NERSC and has no export control restrictions

- 3D ALE hydrodynamics
- AMR (use 3X refinement)
 - With 6 levels, vol ratio 10⁷ to 1
- Material interface reconstruction
- Anisotropic stress tensor
- Material failure with history
- Laser ray trace and deposition
- Ion deposition
- Thermal conduction
- Radiation diffusion (new)
- 2D Axisymmetric capability (new)
- AMR with 3X in only 1 direction (new)
- Surface tension (in progress)

The Sedov blast wave problem has been used to benchmark the code running in various modes

• 2D Cylindrical Blast wave

- r=1, g=1.4, E_{Blast}=0.311357 ergs
- Domain 1.2x1.2 cm



Ion beam deposition and hydrodynamics in ALE-AMR have been benchmarked against the Hydra code

Density and temperature profiles at the completion of the 1 ns, 2.8 MeV, Li ion heating pulse along the radial center of an Al foil. Fluence of 20 J/cm².



ALE-AMR uses a flexible framework with equation of state and material material strength/failure models



Benchmarking against industry standard, LS-DYNA



Plastic strain comparison shows good agreement

Fragmentation obtained using a void insertion model plus interface reconstruction



- Multimaterial mixed zones treated via volume fractions
- Interface reconstruction used to allow complex 3D shaping of objects onto mesh

AMR: Coarsening is easy, refinement requires explicit interface reconstruction

Weighted sum of volume fractions

$$V_f^c = \sum_i V_{f,i}^f V_i^f \left/ \sum_i V_i^f \right.$$



Orientation (*n*) uses V_f 's of neighboring cells Solve for location (ρ) of interface Assign refined V_f 's

1D:

2D: Polygons



3D: Truncated Hexahedra, bounded by doubly-ruled surfaces (DRS, or hyperbolic-paroboloids)

The 3D laser ray tracing package has inverse Bremsstrahlung and turning point deposition

 In a linear potential field ray trajectories are parabolic

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} = \nabla \left(-\frac{c^2}{2} \frac{n_e}{n_c} \right)$$

- Elements are arbitrary hexahedra
- Intersections of parabolic curves with doubly ruled surfaces
- Trajectories involve solution of intersection of quadratic equations (parabola-line intersections)
- Test for intersections with each of the six sides



Kaiser, T. "Laser ray tracing and power deposition on an unstructured three-dimensional grid," Physical Review E, 61(1), 2000, pp. 895-905.

We model both heat conduction and radiation transport based on the diffusion approximation

Diffusion equation

$$\nabla \bullet \alpha \nabla u + \beta u = f$$

Heat Conduction

$$\begin{split} C_{v} \frac{T^{n+1} - T^{n}}{\Delta t} &= \nabla \bullet D^{n} \nabla T^{n+1} - \sigma T^{n+1} \\ \alpha &= D^{n} \\ \beta &= -\sigma - \frac{C_{v}}{\Delta t} \\ f &= -\frac{C_{v}}{\Delta t} T^{n} \end{split}$$

Radiation Diffusion

$$\frac{E_R^{n+1} - E_R^n}{\Delta t} = \nabla \bullet \lambda \left(\frac{c}{\kappa_R}\right) \nabla E_R^{n+1} + \widetilde{\kappa}_P (B^n - cE_R^{n+1})$$

$$C_v \frac{T^{n+1} - T^n}{\Delta t} = -\widetilde{\kappa}_P (B^n - cE_R^{n+1})$$

$$\alpha = \lambda \left(\frac{c}{\kappa_R}\right)$$

$$\beta = -\widetilde{\kappa}_P c - \frac{1}{\Delta t}$$

$$f = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} - \widetilde{\kappa}_P B^n$$

The diffusion equations are solved using the Finite Element Approach (FEM)

- We map the level representation to an equivalent composite mesh
- Special nodal basis functions are constructed to handle the coarsefine interface



Method requires efficient coupling between cells and nodes and has been compared to analytic solutions

- Cell centered temperatures required for hydro in ALE-AMR
- Nodal temperatures are required for FEM diffusion method
- We map the changes in cell/node temperatures ala Shestakov*



Comparison of Su-Olsen simulations with table values (epsilon = 1.0, tau = 1.0)

* "Combining Cell and Point Centered Methods in 3D Unstructured-Grid Radiation-Hydrodynamic Codes", A. I. Shestakov, J. L. Milovich, M. K. Prasad, JCP, **170**, 81-111, 2001.

We are testing methods to couple surface tension models to the hydrodynamics in ALE-AMR

- One method uses a diffuse interface model for surface tension that couples into hydrodynamics through the stress tensor
- It allows for temperature dependent surface tension effects



Test Problem: Hot Al vapor inside an Al ring surround by cool Al vapor

ALE-AMR has been used to model a wide range of targets on NIF for debris/shrapnel assessment



Late-time simulations for NIC targets have focused on higher risk (lower energy) shots



For these lower energy experiments the target is only partially vaporized and resulting shrapnel (molten and solid) can impact optics and diagnostics

Dynamic mesh refinement is essential to achieve high resolution on large 3D meshes



The re-emit campaign studies early time symmetry and requires less than 1% of NIF's total energy



The NIF optics that must be protected extend from 23.5° to 50° from the top (and bottom) pole of the chamber



Assessments require mass and velocity of shrapnel

- Mass of failed AI shrapnel bounded by zonal mass
- Mass of molten Al shrapnel bounded by 300 micron droplets (~thickness of TMP - very conservative)
- Mass of solid Al from calculated shrapnel size
- Velocities averaged over shrapnel volume

Optimized target geometry results in 9X reduction of solid/molten material directed towards optics as compared to isotropic

Simulations agree with optics observations of cratering but no penetrations of the 3-mm thick DDS's

q



Imaging diagnostics are in DIM's located at the top pole and the equator

Polar DIM

q



DIM nosecone



There are two 500 micron thick collimators on either side of the pinhole array

Equatorial DIM

Simulations agree with diagnostic observations of cratering but no penetrations of the 500-mm thick front Ta collimator



Velocities less divergent going towards pole so likely less than 16 fragments

Need surface tension model to calculate mass of molten shrapnel

Redesign of backlighter for radiation transport HED experiment reduces damage to diagnostic



Simulations show that x-ray loading in initial design damaged thin samples and tilted redesign protects samples from x-rays and fast debris wind from target



A redesign of a single quad spherical cavity target reduces material directed towards optics



Simulations for high energy NIC targets will provide angular dependent debris wind and x-ray loading



Conditions at start of high-intensity portion of ignition pulse, unconverted 1ω and 2ω striking CH/AI shields covering Si cooling rings will be added in future simulations

Radiation, calculated here using IMC in Hydra, can significantly impact portions of the AI TMP closest to the LEH's

Summary

- Late-time simulations of HED targets is a new multi-physics area requiring new code development
- ALE-AMR code developed by DOE labs and UC campuses is used to model a wide range of HED targets
 - Unique in its ability to model hot radiating plasmas and cold fragmenting solids
 - Surface tension models are being developed
- ALE-AMR scales to thousands of cores at NERSC
 - Hybridization, e.g., combining OpenMP with MPI, is being studied
- Redesigns of target configurations based on simulations is critical in protecting diagnostics, optics, and obtaining data
- Collaboration in ALE-AMR code development and new application areas is invited, contact deder@llnl.gov or aekoniges@lbl.gov