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Performance: an old problem

“The most constant difficulty in contriving the engine has arisen from the desire to reduce the time in which the calculations were executed to the shortest which is possible.”

Charles Babbage
1791 – 1871

Difference Engine
Today: the “free lunch” is over

- Moore's law is still in charge, but
  - Clock rates no longer increase
  - Performance gains only through increased parallelism
- Optimizations of applications more difficult
  - Increasing application complexity
    - Multi-physics
    - Multi-scale
  - Increasing machine complexity
    - Hierarchical networks / memory
    - More CPUs / multi-core

Every doubling of scale reveals a new bottleneck!
Performance factors of parallel applications

```
“Sequential” performance factors
- Computation
  - Choose right algorithm, use optimizing compiler
- Cache and memory
  - Tough! Only limited tool support, hope compiler gets it right
- Input / output
  - Often not given enough attention

“Parallel” performance factors
- Partitioning / decomposition
- Communication (i.e., message passing)
- Multithreading
- Synchronization / locking
  - More or less understood, good tool support
```
Tuning basics

- Successful engineering is a combination of
  - Careful setting of various tuning parameters
  - The right algorithms and libraries
  - Compiler flags and directives
  - ...
  - Thinking !!!

- Measurement is better than guessing
  - To determine performance bottlenecks
  - To compare alternatives
  - To validate tuning decisions and optimizations
    - After each step!
Performance engineering workflow

- Preparation
  - Prepare application with symbols
  - Insert extra code (probes/hooks)

- Measurement
  - Collection of performance data
  - Aggregation of performance data

- Optimization
  - Modifications intended to eliminate/reduce performance problem

- Analysis
  - Calculation of metrics
  - Identification of performance problems
  - Presentation of results
The 80/20 rule

- Programs typically spend 80% of their time in 20% of the code

- Programmers typically spend 20% of their effort to get 80% of the total speedup possible for the application
  - *Know when to stop!*

- Don't optimize what does not matter
  - *Make the common case fast!*

“If you optimize everything, you will always be unhappy.”

Donald E. Knuth
Metrics of performance

- What can be measured?
  - A count of how often an event occurs
    - E.g., the number of MPI point-to-point messages sent
  - The duration of some interval
    - E.g., the time spent these send calls
  - The size of some parameter
    - E.g., the number of bytes transmitted by these calls

- Derived metrics
  - E.g., rates / throughput
  - Needed for normalization
Example metrics

- Execution time
- Number of function calls
- CPI
  - CPU cycles per instruction
- FLOPS
  - Floating-point operations executed per second.
  - Intel:
    - Pre Haswell: FP ops are over counted
    - Haswell: no FP counters
    - Broadwell and later: reliable FP counters

“math” Operations?
HW Operations?
HW Instructions?
32-/64-bit? ...
Execution time

- **Wall-clock time**
  - Includes waiting time: I/O, memory, other system activities
  - In time-sharing environments also the time consumed by other applications

- **CPU time**
  - Time spent by the CPU to execute the application
  - Does not include time the program was context-switched out
    - Problem: Does not include inherent waiting time (e.g., I/O)
    - Problem: Portability? What is user, what is system time?

- **Problem: Execution time is non-deterministic**
  - Use mean or minimum of several runs
Inclusive vs. Exclusive values

- **Inclusive**
  - Information of all sub-elements aggregated into single value

- **Exclusive**
  - Information cannot be subdivided further

```c
int foo()
{
    int a;
    a = 1 + 1;
    bar();
    a = a + 1;
    return a;
}
```
Classification of measurement techniques

- **How are performance measurements triggered?**
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation

- **How is performance data recorded?**
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing

- **How is performance data analyzed?**
  - Online
  - Post mortem
### Sampling

- **Running program is periodically interrupted to take measurement**
  - Timer interrupt, OS signal, or HWC overflow
  - Service routine examines return-address stack
  - Addresses are mapped to routines using symbol table information
- **Statistical inference of program behavior**
  - Not very detailed information on highly volatile metrics
  - Requires long-running applications
- **Works with unmodified executables**

```c
int main()
{
    int i;
    for (i=0; i < 3; i++)
       foo(i);
    return 0;
}

void foo(int i)
{
    if (i > 0)
       foo(i - 1);
}
```
Instrumentation

- Measurement code is inserted such that every event of interest is captured directly
  - Can be done in various ways
- Advantage:
  - Much more detailed information
- Disadvantage:
  - Processing of source-code / executable necessary
  - Large relative overheads for small functions

```c
int main()
{
  int i;
  Enter("main");
  for (i=0; i < 3; i++)
    foo(i);
  Leave("main");
  return 0;
}

void foo(int i)
{
  Enter("foo");
  if (i > 0)
    foo(i - 1);
  Leave("foo");
}
```
Instrumentation techniques

- **Static instrumentation**
  - Program is instrumented prior to execution

- **Dynamic instrumentation**
  - Program is instrumented at runtime

- **Code is inserted**
  - Manually
  - Automatically
    - By a preprocessor / source-to-source translation tool
    - By a compiler
    - By linking against a pre-instrumented library / runtime system
    - By binary-rewrite / dynamic instrumentation tool
Critical issues

- **Accuracy**
  - Intrusion overhead
    - Measurement itself needs time and thus lowers performance
  - Perturbation
    - Measurement alters program behaviour
    - E.g., memory access pattern
  - Accuracy of timers & counters

- **Granularity**
  - How many measurements?
  - How much information / processing during each measurement?

☞ *Tradeoff: Accuracy vs. Expressiveness of data*
Classification of measurement techniques

- How are performance measurements triggered?
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation

- How is performance data recorded?
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing

- How is performance data analyzed?
  - Online
  - Post mortem
Profiling / Runtime summarization

- Recording of aggregated information
  - Total, maximum, minimum, ...

- For measurements
  - Time
  - Counts
    - Function calls
    - Bytes transferred
    - Hardware counters

- Over program and system entities
  - Functions, call sites, basic blocks, loops, ...
  - Processes, threads

Profile = summarization of events over execution interval
Types of profiles

- Flat profile
  - Shows distribution of metrics per routine / instrumented region
  - Calling context is not taken into account

- Call-path profile
  - Shows distribution of metrics per executed call path
  - Sometimes only distinguished by partial calling context (e.g., two levels)

- Special-purpose profiles
  - Focus on specific aspects, e.g., MPI calls or OpenMP constructs
  - Comparing processes/threads
Tracing

- Recording detailed information about significant points (events) during execution of the program
  - Enter / leave of a region (function, loop, ...)
  - Send / receive a message, ...
- Save information in event record
  - Timestamp, location, event type
  - Plus event-specific information (e.g., communicator, sender / receiver, ...)
- Abstract execution model on level of defined events

Event trace = Chronologically ordered sequence of event records
void foo() {
    trc_enter("foo");
    ...
    trc_send(B);
    send(B, tag, buf);
    ...
    trc_exit("foo");
}

Process A

void bar() {
    trcEnter("bar");
    ...
    recv(A, tag, buf);
    trc_recv(A);
    ...
    trc_exit("bar");
}

Process B

Event tracing

Local trace A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER foo</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEND to B</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT foo</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local trace B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER bar</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECV from A</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT bar</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global trace view

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ENTER foo</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>ENTER bar</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SEND to B</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>EXIT foo</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECV from A</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>EXIT bar</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Virtual merge)
Tracing Pros & Cons

■ Tracing advantages
  ■ Event traces preserve the temporal and spatial relationships among individual events (context)
  ■ Allows reconstruction of dynamic application behaviour on any required level of abstraction
  ■ Most general measurement technique
    ■ Profile data can be reconstructed from event traces

■ Disadvantages
  ■ Traces can very quickly become extremely large
  ■ Writing events to file at runtime may causes perturbation
Classification of measurement techniques

- How are performance measurements triggered?
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation

- How is performance data recorded?
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing

- How is performance data analyzed?
  - Online
  - Post mortem
Online analysis

- Performance data is processed during measurement run
  - Process-local profile aggregation
  - Requires formalized knowledge about performance bottlenecks
  - More sophisticated inter-process analysis using
    - “Piggyback” messages
    - Hierarchical network of analysis agents

- Online analysis often involves application steering to interrupt and re-configure the measurement
Post-mortem analysis

- Performance data is stored at end of measurement run
- Data analysis is performed afterwards
  - Automatic search for bottlenecks
  - Visual trace analysis
  - Calculation of statistics
Example: Time-line visualization

**Global trace view**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>A ENTER foo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>B ENTER bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>A SEND to B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>A EXIT foo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>B RECV from A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>B EXIT bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-Mortem Analysis**

- **A**
  - main
  - foo
  - bar

- **B**
  - main
  - foo
  - bar
No single solution is sufficient!

A combination of different methods, tools and techniques is typically needed!

- **Analysis**
  - Statistics, visualization, automatic analysis, data mining, ...

- **Measurement**
  - Sampling / instrumentation, profiling / tracing, ...

- **Instrumentation**
  - Source code / binary, manual / automatic, ...
Typical performance analysis procedure

- Do I have a performance problem at all?
  - Time / speedup / scalability measurements

- What is the key bottleneck (computation / communication)?
  - MPI / OpenMP / flat profiling

- Where is the key bottleneck?
  - Call-path profiling, detailed basic block profiling

- Why is it there?
  - Hardware counter analysis, trace selected parts to keep trace size manageable

- Does the code have scalability problems?
  - Load imbalance analysis, compare profiles at various sizes function-by-function